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L and surface topography forms the boundary between the solid earth, atmosphere, and hydrosphere. It controls air, water, and mass fluxes; redistributes solar irradiation; and, consequently, has a major influence on physical processes, ecosystems, and human activities. Understanding topography—its character, properties, and human interaction—is therefore fundamental for many disciplines and provides the driving force for research and development of terrain analysis and visualization tools.

For centuries, people have used 3D solid terrain models—which for most are intuitive and easy to interpret—for military planning and landscape design. New technologies, such as solid terrain modeling (see http://www.stm-usa.com) and 3D printers make model production efficient and can help generate impressive 3D physical models for settings where readily interpretable landscape representation is essential. Various institutions have used static solid models to convey 3D landscape information to the general public. Types of institutions using this approach include:

- museums—for example, the National Geographic Museum in Washington, D.C.;
- parks—for example, Grand Teton National Park; and

Military and firefighting groups have focused on training and planning applications using solid models. Solid models are frequently employed for collaboration and decision support, although the range of displayed data and type of interaction is limited to viewing a single terrain representation.

By contrast, virtual terrain modeling environments are highly interactive, allowing users to combine elevation data with additional map layers, select a viewing position, adjust exaggeration, use selective illumination, fly through the model, and modify other surface properties. The basic tools use a GUI and mouse to control the view, while the more sophisticated systems provide controllers with 6 DOF for navigation and immersive VR.

The virtual terrain modeling tools are particularly effective in combination with geographic information systems (GIS) and numerical models of landscape processes. However, this type of visualization is aimed at a single user and the immersive environments can lead to a feeling of separation from the real world and nausea on the part of the user. For effective group discussion, collaboration, and collective decision making, virtual terrain representations require the development of appropriate interaction tools and new multiuser environments, such as the combination of computer-vision-based hand and object tracking with augmented and virtual reality.

We introduce a concept that builds upon previous independent tangible user interface (TUI) and terrain analysis research (see the “Related Work” sidebar on the next page) and aims at more intuitive collaborative interaction with digital landscape data. In addition to visualization of existing data, our work’s specific focus is to use terrain surface modifications to explore relationships that occur between different terrains, the physical parameters of terrains, and the landscape processes that occur in these terrains. Here, we describe various approaches to human interaction...
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Related Work

Recently, several new technologies that combine the flexibility of digital representation with intuitive 3D physical models have emerged.

In one system, solid physical models combine high-resolution imagery printed on the model surface with a projection of selected map layers retrieved from geographic information systems (GIS). The system is static, but the user can display various types of GIS data, such as the location of a floodplain, wetlands, or a planned highway, over the terrain surface and the printed imagery.

A pin-based system creates the terrain model using movable rods covered by a flexible latex sheet. The pins lift the latex sheet to form a 3D model of the landscape based on digital elevation data while an image file is projected on the surface. Through computer control, a new surface can be generated within 30 seconds to 2 minutes. Recently, researchers have combined this type of physical terrain model with a touch table for better interaction (see http://www.xenotran.com/products.htm and http://www.ms.northropgrumman.com/images/TerrainTable_FS.pdf).

Illuminated Clay consists of a flexible clay terrain model, a laser scanner, and a projector. The laser scans the surface that is amenable to physical modification by hand, and the impact of the modification on a selected terrain parameter (for example, slope and water flow direction) is then projected as a color map on the surface in near real time. The MIT Media Laboratory developed the prototype systems.

The first two technologies, with a focus on data display, have recently become commercially available. The third tangible approach, which offers the opportunity for a superior combination of flexibility and cost efficiency, is at the research and development stage. This tangible user interface (TUI) integrates terrain representation and control within a physical tangible model coupled with its virtual digital representation.

TUIs have emerged as an alternative paradigm to the more conventional GUIs letting users manipulate objects in space, thereby combining the benefits of physical and digital models in the same representation. The early developments of TUIs date back to the early 1980s, when Frazer explored different approaches to parallel physical and digital interactions with his 3D data input devices. The Illuminated Clay concept was directly inspired by the Urban Design Workbench, which uses digitally augmented tagged physical objects to represent buildings that can be rearranged to facilitate the process of urban design. A similar system has been coupled with a GIS.
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Interaction with terrain data in Grass GIS

Capabilities for visualizing topography in GIS have evolved from 2D raster maps, contours, and simple 3D meshes in the 1980s to interactive 3D rendered surfaces with shading and color maps draped over the surface that became standard in the 1990s. Grass GIS was among the first general GIS environments that offered surface and volume visualization in 3D space controlled by an easy-to-use GUI (see http://skagit.meas.ncsu.edu/~helena/gmslab/appdoc/s3g3d_tut.html). The system’s capabilities included dynamic 3D surfaces used to represent dynamic phenomena based on measured data, such as a pollutant plume’s temporal evolution or to communicate landscape process simulation results—for example, water and pollutant flow over complex terrain (see more at http://skagit.meas.ncsu.edu/~helena/pub_work/grasskey02/grass02talk10.html). Support for multiple surfaces and interactive cutting planes later added the ability to explore terrain change using a set of digital elevation models (DEMs) representing elevation surfaces at different time periods (for example, before and after construction), but elevation surface has been considered a static entity for most GIS applications.

New mapping technologies, especially laser scanning (for example, lidar and ladar), have dramatically improved terrain-mapping efficiency and high-resolution, multitemporal DEMs are now increasingly available. These data provide a new and more dynamic view of landscapes and stimulate the need for viewing, analyzing, and modeling terrain change and its impacts within GIS. Interacting with terrain data has become important for different types of spatial analysis and design and planning tasks. It can provide insights into the relation between terrain surface shape changes and spatial patterns of the terrain’s derived parameters. For example, access to this type of data can help address the following questions:

- What is the pattern of slope around a peak or a saddle point, on a ridge, or in a valley, and how will this pattern change if the peak is removed or the valley filled during a surface mining operation?
- What is the relation between the terrain shape and water flow patterns, which shape is associated with
What happens to the flow distribution pattern if terraces are introduced on a uniform hill slope?

Interactive manipulation of terrain models can help teach reading of a topographic map or a cut-and-fill plan represented by contours. Educators can simultaneously project contours over the 3D model and as a 2D map to demonstrate how topographic contours change when the surface is modified. Easily adding structure and building models to the DEM (essentially combining CAD and GIS) is important for exploring design alternatives when creating neighborhoods, preparing plans for storm water and sediment control, placing structures for best passive solar energy performance, and numerous other creative design tasks requiring human input and analysis of alternatives.

Currently, we can modify DEMs in Grass GIS by onscreen digitizing, using a mouse and GUI. This approach involves making 3D changes using an image displayed on a 2D screen—for example, by outlining the area to be modified and assigning it a selected elevation value. When using a mouse and GUI in such a way, there is a disconnect between the manipulated object (represented by an image on the screen) and the tool to do it (a mouse) that requires good hand–eye coordination and 3D spatial perception. Therefore, we have been investigating more intuitive approaches. A variety of haptic devices, such as a haptic desktop arm, allow the user to touch and modify a virtual model, but the hand of the person making the changes is still separated from the object, although the haptic arm lets the user feel it (see http://www.computersculpture.com/Pages/Index_Modeling.html). The haptic arm approach is currently used for object modification (such as in industrial design); the practicality of applying this approach in geosciences remains to be explored.

To see the impact of surface modifications on terrain parameters, the user must run several additional modules to perform the analysis. To enhance the GIS capabilities for interaction with terrain data, we are investigating coupling between Grass GIS and the illuminated clay concept.

Tangible system configuration

The Illuminated Clay system uses a commercially available laser scanner (a Minolta Vivid-900 or 910) to capture the surface geometry of a 3D topographic model made of flexible clay. The 3D scanner is coupled to a video projector that projects images onto the landscape model. The scanner and projector pair is housed inside a casing approximately 2 meters above the terrain model. A special program based on Minolta’s software development kit performs successive scans of the model at approximately three scans per second—instead of the standard scan-and-stop mode of operation. The program converts the results obtained as distance values from the scanner’s lens into a raster DEM, commonly used in the geosciences. It then applies the terrain analysis algorithms to the DEM and the resulting raster maps are then projected on the landscape model using a video projector. The interaction loop is recursive, performing approximately one scan-analysis-projection cycle each 1 to 2 seconds, providing users with an almost instant response to any change manually introduced on the model surface.

The entire set of analysis functions (for example, elevation, flow direction, and profile) occurs simultaneously and all parameters are displayed as small 2D raster maps around the physical model edges (see Figure 1) while the user-selected parameter (such as land surface slope) is projected over the physical model. The user can then use any of the 2D raster maps to select an image to project over the physical model. We can add additional projectors to create a virtual 3D terrain model that is coupled with the tangible physical model and the system interface. The virtual terrain model lets the user view the modified surface and objects placed on it from a near-ground perspective or any other user-selected viewing position.

We built the physical landscape model from various flexible materials, such as plasticine with a metal mesh, and wooden or plastic blocks for structures. We can form the plasticine and mesh surface using a mold created from real-world digital elevation data and a 3D printer, solid terrain modeling technology, or simple foam layers cut along contours. Alternatively, we could create the surface interactively by projecting a difference between the DEM and the scanned model onto the surface and modifying it until the difference is below a given threshold. The possible interaction with the model includes manual shaping of the clay surface and adding or removing model structures.

Coupling with GIS

We can consider the original illuminated clay system a TUI for terrain surface analysis because it supports computation of those landscape parameters that use only elevation data as an input. To expand the system’s use beyond the topography by including additional landscape features and processes, we have defined several levels of coupling between the 3D physical model.
Different Levels of Possible Coupling between Grass GIS and a 3D Physical Landscape Model

Based on the type of interaction between the physical model surface representing elevation, its color representing landscape attributes, and a computer system, we can define different levels of coupling.

Passive physical model combined with active image projection

This level transfers a landscape attribute map from the computer to the physical model. This one-way coupling involves a projection of a thematic map created in the Grass GIS graphical window over the physical model (see Figure A), an adequate approach for small models (around 1 foot²). Image transformation to the central projection is required for larger models and greater terrain complexity.

Active physical model combined with active image projection

This level transfers elevation data from the physical model to the computer and an attribute map from the computer to the model (see Figure B). The model surface can be modified manually and the new surface elevations transferred to the computer using 3D laser scanning. The elevation data are imported into the Grass GIS where digital elevation models (DEMs) and parameters computation takes place at a user-selected resolution. The analysis can take several seconds; therefore, the attribute data are projected from the computer to the surface with a delay (several seconds or more, depending on the resolution and complexity of analysis) so the user has to wait for the result. Sophisticated spatial approximation methods, used also for lidar data, can be applied within Grass GIS to reduce noise associated with the laser scanning and manual manipulation of the clay surface.

A One-way coupling projects a GIS map onto the solid terrain model.

The projected map can be static, incorporating a combination of raster and vector features (for example, combined vegetation, roads, building footprints, and streams) or an animation representing a landscape process (for example, water flow or spread of fire). This approach offers great flexibility for the type of displayed data and allows the user to explore the relationship between the data represented by a projected map and the topography in an intuitive, natural manner. For example, by projecting a vegetation map, you can easily identify the association between the pattern of bottom-land forest distribution and river valleys. This type of coupling is useful for education, displays for the general public (for example, museums and parks), and other applications where solid models are used with GIS map layers to broaden the range of themes that users can explore.

B Basic two-way coupling transfers the data from physical terrain model to GIS and projects GIS maps onto the model.

The spatial approximation module simultaneously computes a set of raster maps representing topographic parameters that can be projected on the model surface and the small peripheral 2D maps. This two-way asynchronous coupling permits complex spatial modeling that requires additional map layers stored in the GIS database and GIS modeling tools. For example, erosion modeling combines the land cover, soil, and rainfall data stored in the GIS with terrain parameters derived from the scanned model. The resulting erosion-risk raster map can then be projected over the terrain model and subsequently used to guide the design of specific soil conservation practices.

The asynchronous coupling also lets the user derive vector layers from the modified surface, such as new topographic contours and stream networks as well as perform analysis, modeling, or optimization tasks that might use attributes stored in an external database. This option can also support and Grass GIS. The coupling options described in the “Different Levels of Possible Coupling between Grass GIS and a 3D Physical Landscape Model” sidebar provide a framework for building scalable tangible modeling systems, from simple, low-cost options to sophisticated geospatial modeling environments with near-real-time response to different types of landscape modifications.

Grass GIS, as one of the largest open source projects by the size of its code, includes more than 350 modules that support georeferenced data management, projection, spatial analysis, image processing, modeling, and visualization. Among these modules, some 20 are directly applicable for analysis of impacts of terrain modification in a two-way coupling mode (see the “Different Levels of Possible Coupling between Grass GIS and a 3D Physical Landscape Model” sidebar’s second and third options). These require only elevation data as an input and allow us to perform a comprehensive topographic analysis as discussed in the “Topographic...
complex numerical models representing dynamic phenomena.

Active physical model combined with active near-real-time image projection

This option is similar to the first option, but the transfer of elevation data from the physical model to the computer and the projection of an attribute map onto the model happen in near real time. Users can manually modify the model surface while its elevation is being transferred to the computer and a new attribute map is projected onto the surface within 1 to 2 seconds, creating a user perception of instant response. This type of coupling was developed for the Illuminated Clay concept and the fast response is achieved by combining the lower-resolution fast-scanning mode with simple approximation methods for computation of DEM and its parameters.

Various options for two-way synchronous coupling with selected Grass GIS modules are currently being investigated. The synchronous coupling is the most unique aspect of the proposed system, as it permits visualization of the impact of terrain modifications while they are performed so the user can continue to make adjustments until the desired outcome is achieved.

Active, computer-controlled landscape model with active near-real-time image projection

This option transfers elevation data from the physical model to the computer and from the computer to the model, with an attribute map transferred from the computer to the model (see Figure C). This two-way surface coupling could support the capabilities described in the second option, but also would make the surface adjustable using the digital elevation data, a capability currently supported only by the pin-based systems.

This option transfers elevation data from the physical model to the computer and from the computer to the model, as well as accommodate the transfer of an attribute map from the computer to the model and from the model to the computer (see Figure C). This approach would support all the capabilities described in the previous option, but also would use the landscape model’s RGB scan, acquired by the laser scanner simultaneously with the elevation surface, to capture manually introduced change in color. Thus, it would permit color coding of the surface and structures to indicate the type of land cover, permeability of structures, and other properties needed as input for landscape process modeling and decision making.

Considerable research still needs to be done to build this type of system. In addition to the development of an affordable computer-controlled physical model, suitable materials and tools for adding the color to the physical model will have to be explored and methods for fast analysis of the color image and its transformation to surface attributes need to be investigated.
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Analysis Coupled with a Tangible Landscape Model sidebar on the next page.

Several modules combine elevation data with additional map layers for more complex analysis that takes full advantage of GIS. Soil erosion risk assessment, fire spread simulation, ecosystem modeling, and analysis of shortest path are examples of applications where the scanned terrain surface is combined with raster maps representing vegetation, soil types, climate conditions, infrastructure, or other landscape properties to compute an updated image projected over the modified surface. Using the tangible interface, we can introduce terrain modifications at various locations and investigate the sensitivity of the modeled phenomenon to changing topography. For example, in the study of erosion risk, we can compare the impact of various types of terrain change in vegetated areas with impacts in areas with bare soil.

Dynamic models of landscape processes, such as water flow and sediment transport, require special
attention. In this case, the evolution of the simulated process changes as the user interacts with the surface. Researchers are investigating various approaches for this type of dynamic real-time coupling, including

- displaying the evolution of flow during computation;
- displaying the resulting flow with increasing accuracy by gradually sharpening the image using an increasing number of particles for simulation of flow by a path sampling technique;\(^2\)
- updating the flow only for the modified location and the area downstream from it; and
- performing the simulation using parallel computing.

We consider coupling with dynamic models one of the most interesting and at the same time the most challenging directions in the development of a tangible GIS interface because it might allow us to add a temporal aspect to the current spatial applications. For example, we can observe water running over a dam and try to add model sand bags to stop it while water levels are still rising. We can investigate how fast this needs to occur and with how many bags are needed. Moreover, different users can modify terrain at different locations simultaneously—for example, one user can break the dam upstream from a city and several others can try to place protection such as models of sand bags or retention walls at critical locations downstream, providing an opportunity to explore complex spatial and temporal interactions. Because users do not need obtrusive equipment such as glasses or complex navigation devices, they can communicate face to face in a natural way, without needing special skills such as the knowledge of often complicated GIS interfaces.

We will need to conduct specific usability studies to assess the effectiveness of the system for various types of tasks; however, the system is still evolving in terms of various configurations, control of attribute maps, and interaction with GIS, so it might be too early for such studies.

---

### Topographic Analysis Coupled with a Tangible Landscape Model

Grass GIS-supported topographic analysis includes computation of maps and reports representing properties of terrain surface and their relation to natural processes. These properties include

- elevation (contours and color elevation map);
- terrain surface geometry (slope, aspect, curvatures, and so on);
- terrain features (peaks, valleys, ridges, dune crests and slip faces, and so on);
- watershed characteristics (watershed boundaries and stream networks);
- view shed analysis, shadowing, and line of sight;
- solar irradiation pattern and dynamics;
- profiles and routes;
- summary statistics, volumes, areas, and histograms; and
- spatial query (values and attributes at given locations) and measured distances.

The Illuminated Clay model approach has implemented computation of elevation, contours, slope, aspect, curvature, flow direction, profiles, and shade casting; we are enhancing these computations using methods developed for Grass GIS. Algorithms derived from flow accumulation, such as watershed boundaries and stream networks, are more difficult to implement within the framework of two-way synchronous coupling because the computation requires accumulation of values over the entire modeled region. An approach that shows the result at gradually increasing resolution might hide the delay from the user; currently, two-way asynchronous coupling is used. Visibility and solar irradiation might require a similar approach.

---

2 Elevation surface for the test site: (a) 1:2,000-scale clay model; (b) 1-millimeter-resolution DEM based on a 3D scan of a modified physical model; (c) original 2-meter-resolution DEM used to create the physical model; (d) 2-meter-resolution DEM based on a 2001 airborne lidar scan. The surfaces were visualized in Grass GIS.

3 Significant sediment transport is observed and modeled at the test site: (a) field photo after a storm and (b) simulated pattern of sediment flow rate.
An example application

To illustrate the concept of the tangible geospatial modeling environment, we have coupled the Illuminated Clay active landscape model with Grass GIS and used this to explore various structure placement and terrain modification scenarios for sediment control and prevention of local flooding in a small watershed on the North Carolina State University experimental farm. The test area is a 25-hectare site at the NCSU Sediment and Erosion Control Research and Education Facility. Various methods for erosion and sediment control are developed and tested at this site and it has a sufficiently complex terrain to demonstrate the tangible interface’s functionality.

We built the 3D clay model (see Figure 2a) for our test landscape using the 0.6-meter (2-feet) elevation contours obtained from the Wake County, North Carolina GIS. The model’s horizontal scale was 1:2000 with six-times vertical exaggeration. Figure 2b shows a 1-millimeter-resolution DEM based on the scanned modified clay model. For comparison, we have also computed a 2-meter-resolution DEM from the original contours, representing the terrain in the year 1993 (see Figure 2c) and an airborne lidar-based DEM using the data acquired in 2001 (see Figure 2d) to illustrate actual changes in topography. Field observations (see Figure 3a) and hydrologic and erosion simulations performed in Grass GIS show significant runoff and sediment transport through the study site’s center (see Figure 3b) and flooding in the lower parts of terrain where a new road is located (see Figure 2d). We have used the physical model as a tangible interface (see Figure 4a) to explore the changes in topography using various structures, ditches, and basins that could be implemented to minimize the flooding and reduce the excessive sediment transport.

We first projected GIS-based landscape characterization layers—such as soils, land cover, footprints of structures, and roads—onto the physical model surface to provide information about the modeled landscape’s properties. We performed surface analysis, flow routing, and erosion modeling in Grass GIS for the initial terrain to assess the patterns of slope, overland water flow (see Figure 4b), and sediment transport. We then manually modified the physical landscape model to explore various approaches for water flow and sediment pollution control (see Figure 5).

![Figure 2a: 3D clay model](image1)

![Figure 2b: DEM based on scanned clay model](image2)

![Figure 2c: 2-meter-resolution DEM](image3)

![Figure 2d: Airborne lidar-based DEM](image4)

![Figure 3a: Field observations](image5)

![Figure 3b: Significant runoff and sediment transport](image6)

![Figure 4a: Physical model as a tangible interface](image7)

![Figure 4b: Flow direction projected over the surface](image8)

![Figure 5: Users modify the model](image9)
The first set of changes involved manual modification of a convex hill slope into a shallow basin while the flow-direction map was displayed and concurrently updated along with the terrain surface change. We gradually adjusted the basin shape until flow was redirected through this basin into the neighboring forested watershed, thus reducing the concentrated flow that was causing the flooding and sediment pollution. We then projected a new slope map over the modified surface to check whether the modifications might have introduced unwanted steep slopes that could cause stability problems over the long term. We manually modified slopes that exceeded the critical threshold, such as the red areas in Figure 4b, until the projected slope map showed stable values everywhere. We implemented a similar redirection of flow and slope adjustment on the other side of the valley. We then imported the new DEM into Grass GIS and re-ran the topographic analysis and flow simulation with greater detail. The process-based model allowed us to quantify the proposed change’s impact in terms of water depth and sediment flow rates for a selected design storm.6

A second set of modifications involved the addition of check dams to restrict flow in the main valley. We used small, dam-shaped pieces of clay to approximate these structures (see Figure 5). The tangible environment lets us move a dam up and down along the valley, add a second and a third structure, and modify the dam’s shape and size while observing the impact on water flow patterns in near real time.

The flow direction map showed interruption of flow due to check dams and a reduction in water flow rate through the valley, with the effectiveness dependent on the structure’s location in relation to the rates of lateral inflow. We then imported the modified DEM containing the selected distribution of dams into Grass GIS and performed process-based modeling to quantify the resulting water flow depth and sediment flow rates (see Figure 6).

The placement of larger structures in the valley’s lower section resulted in a more robust design in terms of a capability to reduce flow rates and to withstand larger storms than the use of a larger number of smaller structures located along the entire valley’s length. We have used both two-way synchronous and asynchronous coupling for this case. In addition, this approach let us investigate the flow dynamics and assess the conditions under which the check dams could be overtopped by storm water.

**Conclusion**

The presented coupling of a tangible physical model and Grass GIS represents a promising first step in an effort to build tangible geospatial modeling environments that will allow users to interact with 3D landscape data using the natural human ability to work with their hands. We need to further research several areas to better understand the usability and potential for applications. In particular, we need to investigate the range of scales that manual manipulation of a physical landscape model can support and to assess the feasibility of adding zoom-in capabilities at least for the coupling with the computer-controlled physical model. A related issue is the merging of the model data with the real-world data and a tradeoff between real-time response and accuracy.

In the future, we envision that large 3D physical models coupled with GIS and numerical simulations and capable of receiving real-time data from satellites and terrestrial sensors will form the heart of the land-use management technology at different levels of government and within the military installation management community. These kinds of systems will not only help us solve day-to-day land management problems, but also improve response to natural disasters and emergencies.
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